



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,267	02/24/2004		Thomas M. Sladek	2727	3856
28005	7590	11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
SPRINT		****	VU, MICHAEL T		
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				2683	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,267	SLADEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Vu	2683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are	election requirement.	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/19/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 - 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3, 6-20 of U.S Patent No. 6,718,178. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the continuation are broader than the ones in the patent and encompasses a similar invention as recited in the copending claims, i.e., receiving a single mode wireless card is obvious modification of a dual mode.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,267 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushiyama (US 6,349,140) in view of Diachina (5,768,276).

Regarding **claim 1**, Ushiyama teaches a method comprising: when a person tunes a television to a given station, indicating what station is being watched (Abstract, Fig. 4-6, Fig. 13, C4, L60-67 to C4, L1-22, C6, L51-67 to C7, L1-32). **But is silent on** a video server responsively sending a Short Message Service (SMS) message to a designated destination. However, Diachina teaches the digital control channels having logical channels supporting broadcast Short Message Service (SMS) (Title, Abstract, C23, L9-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,267

Art Unit: 2683

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ushiyama, such that a video server responsively sending a Short Message Service (SMS) message to a designated destination, to provide the use of digital control channels in a multiple access such as cellular phone, to increase the capacity/quality of supporting the different of message services.

Regarding **claims 3 and 11**, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 2, further teaches wherein the host device comprises a cable TV-receiver (C1, L13-19, and claim #5).

Regarding **claims 4 and 12**, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 2, further teaches wherein the host device comprises a satellite TV-receiver (C1, L13-19).

Regarding **claims 5 and 13**, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 2, further teaches wherein the person tunes the television by tuning the host (C1, L13-19).

Regarding **claim 7**, Ushiyama teaches a method comprising: detecting that a person has tuned a television to a given station; responsively sending an informational message that indicates what station is being watched (Abstract, Fig. 4-6, Fig. 13, C4, L60-67 to C4, L1-22, C6, L51-67 to C7, L1-32).

Regarding **claim 8**, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 7. **But is silent on** wherein the informational message comprises a Short Messaging Service (SMS) message. However, Diachina teaches the digital control channels having logical channels supporting broadcast Short Message Service (SMS) (Title, Abstract, C23, L9-26).

Art Unit: 2683

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ushiyama, such that wherein the informational message comprises a Short Messaging Service (SMS) message, to provide the use of digital control channels in a multiple access such as cellular phone, to increase the capacity/quality of supporting the different of message services.

5. Claims 2, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushiyama (US 6,349,140) in view of Ellis (2004/0117831).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 1, But is silent on wherein the video server comprises a host device serving the television.

However, Ellis teaches a system for providing interactive television programming features, which provide by user television equipment that relate to a specific interest or programming category (Abstract, Fig. 1A to Fig. 1D, [0092-0096, 0211]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ushiyama, such that wherein the video server comprises a host device serving the television, to provide many program guides allow the users to view television programs/control/monitor in a specific category.

Regarding **claim 9**, Ushiyama teaches a method of claim 7. **But is silent on** wherein sending the informational message comprises a video server sending the informational message. However, Ellis teaches a system for providing interactive television programming features, which provide by user television equipment that relate

Art Unit: 2683

to a specific interest or programming category (Abstract, Fig. 1A to Fig. 1D, [0092-0096, 0211]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ushiyama, such that wherein sending the informational message comprises a video server sending the informational message, to provide many program guides allow the users to view television programs/control/monitor in a specific category.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

For **claims 6 and 14**, the prior art of this record does not disclose or teach wherein sending an SMS message to a designated destination comprises sending the SMS message to a parent of the person.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - 1. Ushiyama US 6,349,140
 - 2. Ellis 2004/0117831
 - 3. Ellis 2005/0204382
 - 4. Diachina 5,768,276

Application/Control Number: 10/785,267

Art Unit: 2683

5. Svennesson 6,005,845

6. Shimomura 2005/0169255

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Vu whose telephone number is (571)272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 6:00pm.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael T. Vu

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600